

Fred Hutch · Seattle Children's · UW Medicine

Oncology Board Review: Sarcoma

Michael Wagner, M.D.

2021



- Advisory: Tempus, Deciphera, Adaptimmune
- Grants/Research to institution: Athenex, Deciphera, Incyte, Adaptimmune, GSK, Inhibrx

- Understand key management principles of patients with localized and metastatic soft tissue sarcoma
- Understand risk stratification for patients with resected GIST and systemic therapy for metastatic GIST
- Identify sarcoma subtypes that require multimodality therapy



- STS Neoadjuvant/adjuvant therapy
- STS Metastatic disease
- GIST
- Bone/other

 55M presents with large abdominal mass over the past ~6 months

• Previously healthy, no significant PMH

• Labs normal

Case Presentation



- Pathology: Dedifferentiated liposarcoma
- CT chest: no metastatic disease

Overview

STS Neoadjuvant/adjuvant therapy

- STS Metastatic disease
- GIST
- Bone/other

Treatment of localized STS

- Patients with an inadequate initial surgery have a higher rate of distant metastatic disease
- Wide local excision without transecting tumor is important
- Limb sparing surgery is the standard of care in most cases for extremity STS/bone sarcoma
- Most extremity STS need XRT for local control
- Less clear for RP and abdominal sarcomas
 - Usually a case by case assessment- newer data arguing against RT in RP sarcomas

Adjuvant chemotherapy

Overall RFS					U	0.0			1.5	2.0
GOG	52/113	62/112	-6.75	28.42		L-L-				
MDAH	12/18	15/17	-4.65	5.88		J		· · ·		
Mayo	12/22	11/23	0.21	5.71		·· -				
NCÍ4	9/17	5/8	-1.42	2.64		· · ·				
NCI5	22/38	24/41	-0.33	11.47		· –				
NCI6	9/21	11/20	-2.00	4.90		H			· · · · ·	-
EORTC	92/193	105/188	-13.31	48.84		<u>н</u>		—		
DFCI/MGH	7/21	8/25	-0.20	3.74		·		· ·		-
ECOG	9/24	11/23	-1.70	4.96		· · ·	_			-
Bergonie	11/28	19/26	-7.60	6.96		H			-	
SSĞ	65/121	69/119	-5.35	32.72		. –				
Rizzoli	7/16	13/22	-2.10	4.85		L+				
IGSC	14/40	25/46	-5.09	9.73		·	_			
SAKK	4/12	4/12	0.08	2.00		H		•		-
Total	325/684	382/682	-50.21	172.83			\blacklozenge			
A	1				0	0.5	1.	0	1.5	2.0

• Improvement in RFS

Adjuvant chemotherapy

Overall surviva	I				U	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0
GOG	51/113	55/112	-1.37	26.43		L.			
MDAH	15/26	20/28	-3.13	8.65					
Mayo	14/28	12/29	1 46	6 4 5				-	
NCI4	9/17	5/8	-1.57	2 54				-	
NCI5	22/38	23/41	1.32	11 15					
NCI6	8/21	9/20	-1.02	4 21					
FORTC	94/234	96/233	-0.60	A7 A7					
DECUMON	6/21	7/25	0.00	3 22					-
ECOC	0/24	10/23	1 20	1 60		 			
Borgonio	9/24	10/23	-1.29	4.05			•		
Bergonie	10/33	10/32	-5.94	0.02		-			
556	57/121	5//119	-1.30	28.48		⊢+			
Rizzoli	12/34	25/43	-5.83	9.19		+■		4	
IGSC	16/43	23/49	-2.72	9.72		· +	╺┓╸┥╶┤──	· · · ·	ł
SAKK	5/14	3/15	1.55	1.94		+			
Total	328/767	363/777	-20.29	170.95			\blacklozenge		
					ò	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0
						Chemotherapy	better Co	ontrol better	

Trend but not significant improvement in OS

Adjuvant chemotherapy: EORTC study

- Randomized
- Doxo 75+lfos 5 Vs no chemo
- All pts had surgery and RT as per SOC
- Trend towards but non significant OS benefit (the primary endpoint)



Woll et al, Lancet Onc 2012

Adjuvant chemotherapy: summary of sarcoma metanalysis consortium

- Adjuvant chemo improved relapse free survival
- No significant benefit in OS
- Controversial because many argue sub-par chemo dosing and patient selection
- Updates after this meta-analysis failed to provide clear guidance
- *Board answer: No adjuvant chemo

SMAC, Lancet 1997 Slide adapted from R. Ratan

Back to the patient

- After discussion, patient opted for neoadjuvant XRT and surgery, he did not want chemo
- Pre-surgery scan: multiple bilateral pulmonary nodules





- STS Neoadjuvant/adjuvant therapy
- STS Metastatic disease
- GIST
- Bone/other

Treatment of Metastatic STS: Outline

- Doxorubicin based combinations
- Gemcitabine based combinations
- Trabectedin
- Pazopanib
- Eribulin
- Others

- Cy(V)ADIC- 1980s
- mAID (60mg/m2 doxorubicin, 7.5 g/m2 ifosfamide, 900 mg/m2 dacarbazine)
- Lots of toxicity with no OS benefit over less aggressive combinations and single agent

Doxorubicin based combinations

- AIM (Doxorubicin 75mg/m2 + Ifosfamide 10g/m2)
 - Rationale: Increase the dose of doxorubicin and ifosfamide (most active agents) after advent of growth factors

AIM vs Doxorubicin single agent



- Median PFS: 7.4 (95%Cl, 6.6-8.3) vs 4.6 (95%Cl, 2.9-5.6) months
- Median OS: 14.3 vs 12.8 mos
- (HR 0.72, 95%CI; 0.59-0.88, p=0.002)
- HR 0.83 (95%Cl 0.67-1.03, p=0.076)

Conclusion: AIM improved PFS and ORR but not OS, single agent doxo is SOC 1st line for metastatic STS
 Doxorubicin lifetime use limited by cardiotoxicity risk

Gemcitabine vs Gemcitabine + Docetaxel



- Median PFS: 6.2 mos for gemcitabinedocetaxel and 3.0 mos for gemcitabine
- ORR: 16% (gem-docetaxel) and 8% (gem)
- Median OS: 17.9 mos for gemcitabinedocetaxel and 11.5 mos for gemcitabine

Gemcitabine vs Gemcitabine + Docetaxel

Additional toxicity with docetaxel



 Conclusion: Gem-Tax improves PFS and OS over gem alone but with extra toxicity • Gemcitabine + Dacarbazine

• Single Agent Gemcitabine, especially for older adults or those unable to tolerate the combinations

Trabectedin

- Compound isolated from sea squirt
- Binds DNA minor groove
- Initial studies showed it has activity in "L-sarcomas"- LPS and LMS



Trabectedin vs Dacarbazine for LPS and LMS, Phase 3

- HR for progression
 0.55, P<.001
- Median PFS 4.2 mos vs 1.5 mos



Trabectedin vs Dacarbazine for LPS and LMS, Phase 3

- Did not meet OS endpoint
- Response Rate of 9.9% vs 6%
- Median OS 12.4 vs 12.9 months
- Approved by FDA in November 2015 based on PFS benefit



Trabectedin for translocation sarcoma, Phase 2 vs BSC

- Not an FDA approved indication, but will usually be able to get insurance coverage due to this study
- Ex/ synovial sarcoma, myxoid round cell liposarcoma
- FDA approved for leiomyosarcoma and liposarcoma



Pazopanib

- Multi-targeted TKI
- Trend towards improved OS
- ORR 6%,
- 67% with stable disease as best response

*not approved for liposarcoma



Van der Graaf, Lancet, 2012

Eribulin

- Phase II in sarcomas, prespecified endpoint of 12 mo PFS >30%
- Phase III
 - Included LPS and LMS only based on Phase II
- OS benefit 13.5 mos vs 11.5 mos (p = 0.0169)
- No PFS benefit, 2.6 months in both groups, HR 0.88



Eribulin

• FDA approved for liposarcoma only after failure of 2 prior lines of therapy

	Events/n		HR (95% CI)
	Eribulin	Dacarbazine	
Age group			
<65 years	138/178	148/178	
≥65 years	38/50	33/46	0.77 (0.45-1.32)
Sex			
Female	124/161	110/142	0.90 (0.68-1.18)
Male	52/67	71/82	0-59 (0-40-0-87)
Previous regimens for adva	anced STS		
2	92/121	92/122	
>2	84/107	89/102	0.64 (0.47-0.88)
Stratification region			
Region 1 (USA and Canada)	63/87	69/86	
Region 2 (western Europe,	85/106	84/105	0-89 (0-65-1-21)
Australia, and Israel)			
Region 3 (eastern Europe,	28/35	28/33	0.67 (0.38-1.17)
Latin America, and Asia)			
Disease type			
Liposarcoma	52/71	63/72	0.51 (0.35-0.75)
Leiomyosarcoma	124/157	118/152	0.93 (0.71-1.20)
AJCC sarcoma tumour grad	le score at dia	ignosis	
High	118/150	125/152	0-80 (0-61-1-04)
Intermediate	57/77	55/69	0.65 (0.44-0.96)
Baseline ECOG PS			
0	76/111	72/90	0.58 (0.41-0.82)
1	97/114	97/121	1.11 (0.83-1.48)
2	3/3	12/13 -	3.00 (0.25-35/79)
Previous anticancer therap	y type		
Anthracycline	174/225	177/219	- 0·77 (0·62-0·96)
Gemcitabine	101/129	111/138	0-80 (0-60-1-07)
Ifosfamide	108/141	115/137	0·70 (0·53-0·93)
Taxane	87/109	92/114	0-84 (0-60-1-16)
Trabectedin	80/108	98/116	0.64 (0.47-0.88)
Targeted therapy	23/29	19/26	1.07 (0.53-2.16)
Other	66/83	70/90	
Overall	176/228	181/224	- • - 0.77 (0.62-0.95)
		_	
		0.25	1 4 16
		Favours	eribulin Favours dacarbazine

CD4/6 Inhibitors



Dickson et al, JAMA Onc, 2016

What about immunotherapy?

- Actively being studied
 - Pembrolizumab
 - Nivolumab +/- Ipilimumab
- Sarcoma is not an FDA approved indication for immunotherapy
- Many patients are interested in IO given the extensive media exposure

Responses with pembrolizumab



Pembrolizumab on NCCN guidelines for UPS

Tawbi et al, Lancet Oncology, 2017

Overview

- STS Neoadjuvant/adjuvant therapy
- STS Metastatic disease
- GIST
- Bone/other

			v 1		
	KIT (CD117)	CD34	SMA	Desmin	S-100
GIST Smooth muscle tumor Schwannoma Fibromatosis	+ - Disputed*	+ (60% to 70%) + (10% to 15%) + (usually Antoni B) Rare	+ (30% to 40%) + - +	Very rare + Rare cells	5%+ Rare + -

TABLE 1. Imm	unohistochemical	Schema for	the	Differential	Diagnosis o	of Spindle	Cell	Tumors of	i the	GI Tr	act
--------------	------------------	------------	-----	--------------	-------------	------------	------	-----------	-------	-------	-----

Abbreviation: SMA, smooth muscle actin.

*Most, but not all authors report that fibromatoses are negative for KIT.

- Another GIST marker is DOG1 (a calcium channel seen on GIST cells)
 - DOG1 staining is by IHC and helps establish the diagnosis

Medical Management: Targeting GIST Biology

- Mutations in GIST- these are different from IHC and can only be detected by PCR or other sequencing based methods
 - KIT (~80%)- NOTE: KIT expression by IHC is not the same as having a KIT mutation
 - Exon 11- most common, 400 mg imatinib
 - Exon 9- often in small bowel, 800 mg imatinib
 - PDGFR (~10%)
 - **D842V**- avapritinib
 - "WT"- 85% of GISTs in children and 10% in adults
 - SDH
 - BRAF
 - NF1
 - NTRK fusion
 - Other...

GIST Treatment

- Surgery
- Medicines
 - Tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs)
 - Can be given before surgery if needed → takes a long time (many months) before enough tumor shrinkage to get to surgery
- Rarely radiation
- Radiofrequency ablation (RFA), embolization, or chemoembolization

Historic Surgical Outcomes

 50% of patients can recur postoperatively, usually in the liver or peritoneum, and will die within 5 years without additional treatment



DeMatteo, Ann Surg, 2000.

Predicting Postop Recurrence

• Who needs medicine after surgery?

Points	0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100				
Size (cm)	0 5 10 15 25 35 45				
Mitotic index	<5/50 HPF <5/50 HPF				
Site	Colon/rectum Stomach/other Small intestine				
Total points	0 20 40 60 80 100 120 140 160 180 200				
Probability of 2-year RFS 90 80 70 6050403020 10					
Probability of 5	-year RFS				

7/30/2021

Medical Management: Adjuvant Therapy



Improved RFS HR 0.60, p<0.001

Improved OS HR 0.60, p<0.036

• Adjuvant imatinib 3y vs 1y improved RFS 20%, OS < 10%

Joensuu, J Clin Onc, 2014.

Medical Management: Adjuvant Therapy

- <u>At least 3 years of treatment after surgery for a high risk</u> GIST is considered standard
- In the adjuvant setting the optimal treatment duration with imatinib is not known
- Although not formally studied in a published manuscript, many believe that longer treatment is even better and will continue patients for as long as they are tolerating the drug and there is no tumor recurrence
 - Can be lifelong, but often length of treatment beyond 3 years is a discussion with the patient of risks vs potential benefit

Medical Management: GIST Metastatic Disease

- Imatinib (or Avapritinib) → Sunitinib →
 Regorafenib → Ripretinib → Clinical Trial
- New ongoing studies may change this

Medical Management: Metastatic Disease

• 1st line: imatinib (EORTC, SWOG S0033, MetaGIST)





Verweij et al. Lancet 2004, 364. 1127-34.

Medical Management: 2nd Line Metastatic Disease

• 2nd line: sunitinib (Demetri 2006)



NUTIDEI at IISK									
Sunitinib	207	106	67	53	34	18	5	1	0
Placebo	105	36	9	2	1	0	0	0	0

Number at risk											
Sunitinib	207	167	117	97	71	50	31	11	3	1	0
Placebo	105	85	57	43	31	22	13	3	1	0	0

Improved Progression Free Survival

Improved Overall Survival

60

54

• Median PFS on sunitinib was 27 weeks (about 7 months)

Medical Management: 3rd Line Metastatic Disease

• 3rd line: Regorafenib (Demetri 2013)



Medical Management: GIST Metastatic Disease

• 4th Line and beyond (Ripretinib recently approved)



Blay et al. Lancet 2020; George et al, Oncologist 2021

Medical Management: GIST Metastatic Disease



GIST Summary

- Most cases have KIT or PDGRA mutations
- Localized disease
 - Surgery +/- adjuvant imatinib based on risk stratification
- Metastatic disease
 - KIT/PDGFR mutation testing
 - 1st choice usually Imatinib 400 mg daily
 - Sunitinib, Regorafenib, Ripretinib
 - Avapritinib for PDGFRa D842V mutation
 - No role for standard chemotherapy

Medical Management: Bone/Pediatric Sarcomas

- Osteosarcoma
 - MAP-surgery-MAP
- Ewing sarcoma
 - ddVDC/IE XRT/surgery ddVDC/IE
- Rhabdomyosarcoma
 - <u>Alveolar/Embryonal</u>/spindle cell/sclerosing subtypes
 - Risk stratification, ALL REQUIRE MULTI-AGENT CHEMOTHERAPY
- Chondrosarcoma- Surgery if possible, not very responsive to chemo



- STS Neoadjuvant/adjuvant therapy
- STS Metastatic disease
- GIST
- Bone/other

Medical Management: Bone/Pediatric Sarcomas

- Osteosarcoma
 - MAP-surgery-MAP
- Ewing sarcoma
 - ddVDC/IE XRT/surgery ddVDC/IE
- Rhabdomyosarcoma
 - <u>Alveolar/Embryonal</u>/spindle cell/sclerosing subtypes
 - Risk stratification, ALL REQUIRE MULTI-AGENT CHEMOTHERAPY
- Chondrosarcoma- Surgery if possible, not very responsive to chemo

Special Other Histologies (simply recognizing the disease entity is a possible boards question)

- **Angiosarcoma- highly responsive to taxanes
- Dermatofibrosarcoma Protuberans (DFSP)- imatinib
- PVNS- Pexidartinib (CSF1R inhibition)
- **Desmoid- sorafenib, imatinib (assoc with FAP)
- Giant Cell Tumor of Bone- denosumab
- Inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor (IMT)- if ALK positive, can respond to crizotinib
- PEComa- mTOR inhibitors
- Pediatric sarcomas in adultsaggressive multiD care

Special Other Histologies: Epithelioid sarcoma

- **Epithelioid sarcoma frequently has loss of** INI1/SMARCB1 50 Change in the sum of target lesion diameters from baseline (%) 25-Patients -25--50-Patients who had disease control at 32 weeks Partial response Stable disease -75-Progressive disease Treatment ongoing 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 10 Overall treatment duration (months) Patients*
 - Median PFS 5.5 months, ORR 14.5%

Tazemetostat approved for epithelioid sarcoma

Summary

- STS Neoadjuvant/adjuvant therapy
 - Surgery and radiation for most STS of extremity, surgery alone for STS of abdomen/RP
- STS Metastatic disease
 - Doxorubicin, increasingly histiotype tailored systemic tx
- GIST
 - Risk stratification after surgery, 3 yrs adjuvant imatinib
 - Imatinib->sunitinib-> Regorafenib-> Ripretinib
- Bone/other
 - Multiagent chemotherapy for Ewing/Osteosarcoma

Seattle Cancer Care Alliance

Fred Hutch · Seattle Children's · UW Medicine



Better together.