

## Aggressive B-Cell Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma

#### Mengyang Di, MD, PhD

Assistant Professor, Division of Hematology & Oncology University of Washington / Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center





## **Disclosures**

- Research Funding: Schrodinger, Inc.; BeiGene.
- Consultancy: BeiGene; Genetech.

# Topics

- Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma (DLBCL)
  - Limited stage
  - Advanced stage
  - Primary Mediastinal Large B-Cell Lymphoma (PMBCL)
- Double hit (MYC/BCL2)
- Burkitt Lymphoma
- Relapsed /refractory Aggressive B-Cell Lymphomas

# Topics

- Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma (DLBCL)
  - Limited stage
  - Advanced stage
  - Primary Mediastinal Large B-Cell Lymphoma (PMBCL)
- Double hit (MYC/BCL2)
- Burkitt Lymphoma
- Relapsed /refractory Aggressive B-Cell Lymphomas

### **US Lymphoid Malignancy Incidence**



DLBCL incidence: 7 per 100k, about 30k per year

**Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center** 

Teras Ca J Clin 2016

### **DLBCL and Cell of Origin**

#### • Germinal Center (GCB)

- most common
- Upregulated genes: BCL6 and EZH2
- Activated B-cell (ABC)
  - < 1/3 of cases
  - BCR signaling/ NFkB activation
- Unclassifiable
  - < 1/5 cases



### MYC/BCL2: Double hit vs Protein Expression



**Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center** 

Hu Blood 2013

### Pretreatment evaluation

- Echo/MUGA
- Fertility evaluation and preference
- Laboratory workup (Hep B, HIV, LDH)
- Venous access
- Staging w/PET CT
  - Detects extranodal sites better than CT: GI, bone, skin/subQ, liver
  - High sensitivity for large B-cell marrow involvement
  - BM Bx still "useful in selected cases (NCCN)- e.g., for
    - Key treatment decisions
    - Baseline cytopenias
    - Uncertain PET result

# **IPI and CNS-IPI: Prognosis and CNS risk**

#### Standard IPI

- Age >60
- Stage III/IV
- LDH >ULN
- EN sites >1 •
- ECOG >1





#### CNS IPI 4-6: >10% chance of CNS involvement

Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center

Zhou Blood 2014. Schmitz JCO 2016.

CNS risk with

Testicular DLBCL

9

Breast DLBCL

DHL

### Limited Stage DLBCL

- ≈ 40% of DLBCL cases, high cure rate
- Unique biology
  - continual relapse risk (SWOG- Stephens JCO 2016)
- ? Role of radiation (ISRT, 30 Gy)
  - Not generally needed
    - LYSA/GOELAMS 02-03, SWOG S8736
  - Toxicity and out of field relapses are concerns





# Pragmatic treatment options for LS-DLBCL



11

**Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center** 

Poeschel Lancet 2019, Lamy Blood 2018, Miller NEJM 1998, Persky JCO 2008, Stephens JCO 2016, Persky JCO 2021: "SWOG S1001", Hawkes Blood 2022

### Advanced Stage DLBCL

- RCHOP: 20-year standard
- Cure rate ≈ 70%

# Many negative randomized trials vs RCHOP...



### Polatuzumab Vedotin: Basis for POLARIX trial in 1<sup>st</sup> line DLBCL



- Pola monotherapy in R/R DLBCL: ORR 56%, CR 15%
- Pola+ BR: ORR 45%/CR 40%, OS 12.4 months

- Better in **ABC subtype** HR 0.34 PFS
- **Pola + R**: ORR 54%/ CR 21%

### POLARIX: Pola-RCHP vs RCHOP



### **Polarix: Progression-Free Survival**



HR 0.73 (P<0.02) 95% CI: 0.57, 0.95

 Pola-R-CHP demonstrated a 27% reduction in the relative risk of disease progression, relapse, or death versus R-CHOP

 24-month PFS: 76.7% with Pola-R-CHP versus 70.2% with R-CHOP (Δ=6.5%)

Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center

### **Polarix: Overall Survival**



### **Polarix: Subsequent Treatments**



### **Polarix: Toxicity**



		Pola (N	a-R-CHP I=440)	R- (M	-CHOP N=439)				
Baseline Risk Factors	Total N	n	2-year Rate	n	2-year Rate	Hazard Ratio	95% Wald Cl	Pola-R-CHP Better	R-CHOP Better
Age group ≤60 >60	271 608	140 300	74 1 77 9	131 308	71∙9 69∙5	0·9 0·7	(0·6 to 1·5) (0·5 to 0·9)	Ī	Ī
Sex Male Female	473 406	239 201	75·9 77·7	234 205	65·9 75·2	0·7 0·9	(0·5 to 0·9) (0·6 to 1·4)	H	
ECOG PS 0–1 2	737 141	374 66	78·4 67·2	363 75	71·2 65·0	0∙8 0∙8	(0·6 to 1·0) (0·5 to 1·4)		
IPI score IPI 2 IPI 3–5	334 545	167 273	79∙3 75∙2	167 272	78∙5 65∙1	1∙0 0∙7	(0·6 to 1·6) (0·5 to 0·9)		
Bulky disease Absent Present	494 385	247 193	82·7 69·0	247 192	70·7 69·7	0·6 1·0	(0·4 to 0·8) (0·7 to 1·5)		
Geographic region Western Europe, United States, Canada, and Australia	603	302	78.6	301	72.0	0.8	(0·6 to 1·1)	<b></b>	н
Asia Rest of world	160 116	81 57	74.3 70.8	79 59	65.6 67.3	0.6 0.9	(0·4 to 1·5) (0·6 to 1·5)		
Ann Arbor stage I–II III IV	99 232 548	47 124 269	89·1 80·7 72·6	52 108 279	85∙5 73∙6 66∙1	0·6 0·8 0·8	(0·2 to 1·8) (0·5 to 1·3) (0·6 to 1·1)	<u> </u>	
Baseline LDH ≤ULN >ULN	300 575	146 291	78·9 75·4	154 284	75·6 67·2	0∙8 0·7	(0·5 to 1·3) (0·5 to 1·0)		
No. of extranodal sites 0–1 ≥2	453 426	227 213	80∙2 73∙0	226 213	74∙5 65•8	0·8 0·7	(0·5 to 1·1) (0·5 to 1·0)		T
Cell-of-origin GCB ABC Unclassified Unknown	352 221 95 211	184 102 44 110	75·1 83·9 73·0 73·8	168 119 51 101	76·9 58·8 86·2 64·3	1·0 0·4 1·9 0·7	(0.7 to 1.5) (0.2 to 0.6) (0.8 to 4.5) (0.4 to 1.2)		
Double expressor by IHC DEL Non DEL Unknown	290 438 151	139 223 78	75·5 77·7 76·0	151 215 73	63·1 75·7 69·8	0·6 0·9 0·8	(0·4 to 1·0) (0·6 to 1·3) (0·4 to 1·5)		1
Double- or triple-hit lymphoma Yes No Unknown	45 620 214	26 305 109	69·0 76·8 78·5	19 315 105	88·9 70·3 66·4	3·8 0·7 0·6	(0.8 to 17.6) (0.5 to 1.0) (0.4 to 1.1)		<b>→</b>
							0	25	5

#### **Polarix:** Subgroup analyses, PFS (exploratory)

Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center

### Polarix- Pola + RCHP Conclusions

- Large, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial
- Pola + RCHP: Superior PFS by 6.5% vs R-CHOP
  - Decreases need for salvage Tx
    - ? benefits high-IPI /non-GCB most
- Approved 4/19/23 (IPI 2 or higher DLBCL or HGBCL)
- Practical considerations: NCCN "Preferred regimen" alongside R-CHOP, category 1

### DLBCL – skeletal and bulky sites: RT consolidation?

### Answer is "Maybe"

- *Best use*: Older pts >60 w/PET < CR, limited RT field
- No modern randomized data available
  - retrospective data → comparable outcomes if EOT PET neg
    - @ known skeletal sites
- Concerns with XRT
  - Efficacy: Out of field relapses
  - Toxicity: Marrow toxicity, 2<sup>nd</sup> CA risk

### Take home message for frontline management of DLBCL

#### • Limited stage:

- RT generally not needed, but may be useful in selected cases
- Abbreviated chemo can be considered in non-bulky, low-risk disease
- RCHOP \* 6 cycles for bulky or high-risk disease

#### Advanced stage:

- Pola-RCHP has become a new standard therapy (possibly more benefit in high IPI score or ABC subtype)
- Controversial role of consolidative RT for bulky, skeletal sites

# Topics

- Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma (DLBCL)
  - Limited stage
  - Advanced stage
  - Primary Mediastinal Large B-Cell Lymphoma (PMBCL)
- Double hit (MYC/BCL2)
- Burkitt Lymphoma
- Relapsed /refractory Aggressive B-Cell Lymphomas

### **Primary Mediastinal B-Cell Lymphoma**

- Thymic B-cell origin
- Biology overlaps cHL
- Younger / female
  - bulky disease, EN relapses
- CD30 generally +, FISH 9p24 common



#### **DA-EPOCH-R** outcomes (single arm trial)

#### **Treatments**

- RCHOP x 6, historically + ISRT
- DA-EPOCH-R, without planned RT
- ?Need RT if PET negative-- New trial data: IELSG-37



## Primary Mediastinal Lymphoma: IELSG 37

**Design: Induction chemo then** PET - PET neg: randomized RT 30 Gy vs none

**Primary endpoint PFS** 

**Interim analysis**: median follow-up of 58.8 months

PET negative then	PFS (%)	OS (%)
<b>Observation</b> (n=132)	96.2	99.2
<b>XRT 30 Gy</b> (N=136)	98.5	99.3
	P=.27	P=.60

Conclusion: XRT may be safely omitted if CR after chemotherapy (DV 1-3)

# Topics

- Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma (DLBCL)
  - Limited stage
  - Advanced stage
  - Primary Mediastinal Large B-Cell Lymphoma (PMBCL)
- Double hit (MYC/BCL2)
- Burkitt Lymphoma
- Relapsed /refractory Aggressive B-Cell Lymphomas

# High-Grade B-Cell Lymphoma

#### **Double Hit: MYC + BCL2 rearrangements**

- Large, intermediate, or blastoid cells
- GCB gene expression profile, overlaps with Burkitt
- Unique from single MYC-r; MYC gains; MYC/BCL6-r, double protein expression
  - mostly will fall under DLBCL

#### **Aggressive clinical presentation**

• Higher risk of EN and CNS involvement

#### Notable, related subtype: HGBCL-NOS

• Morphologic definition, poor reproducibility

# High-Grade B-Cell Lymphoma

- Optimal 1<sup>st</sup> line Tx still undefined
  - Consider intensive regimens, such as DA-EPOCH-R
    - especially for high IPI
  - No randomized data showing benefit to intensive Tx
    - retrospective data variable<sup>1</sup>
  - Pola-RCHP??
    - Small subset (N=45), no obvious signal of PFS benefit in subset analysis
  - Consider adding ISRT for localized disease, bulky PR

### HGBCL in CR1: Role of Auto SCT?

- 159 patients with HGBCL ("double hit") who achieved CR
- Nonrandomized comparison: ASCT vs observation
- Median f/u = 26.5 months (range, 0.2-114.6)



# Topics

- Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma (DLBCL)
  - Limited stage
  - Advanced stage
  - Primary Mediastinal Large B-Cell Lymphoma (PMBCL)
- Double hit (MYC/BCL2)
- Burkitt Lymphoma
- Relapsed /refractory Aggressive B-Cell Lymphomas

### **Burkitt Lymphoma**

#### Subtypes

- Endemic (African)
- Sporadic (non-endemic)
- Immunodeficiency-associated

#### Presentation (Sporadic)

- Rapidly growing /bulky mass, high IDH
- Distal ileum, cecum, other GI sites; EN sites
- Very high Ki67
- FISH: MYC rearrangment



Starry sky pattern

Van Gogh- The Starry Night 1889 ASH image bank: Carll/Venkataraman

### **Burkitt Lymphoma**

#### **Treatment Standards**

Rituximab +

# Magrath (CODOX-M/IVAC); HyperCVAD+ Mtx/ara-C; da- EPOCH; risk adapted da- EPOCH

- Similar 2y PFS between CODOX-M/IVAC 2 cycles and da- EPOCH 6 cycles
- No randomized data for other comparisons

#### HyperCVAD+R may have higher TRM



# Topics

- Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma (DLBCL)
  - Limited stage
  - Advanced stage
  - Primary Mediastinal Large B-Cell Lymphoma (PMBCL)
- Double hit (MYC/BCL2)
- Burkitt Lymphoma
- Relapsed /refractory Aggressive B-Cell Lymphomas

### Relapsed/Refractory DLBCL

#### Major recent advances

- Cellular immunotherapy- CAR T-cells
- Bispecific Ab's
- Novel agents

#### Unmet needs

- Post CAR T-relapse (or ineligible); sequencing Tx around CAR T-cells
- CNS disease: Prophylaxis and tx
- Managing R/R subtypes (PMBCL, HGBCL/DLBCL with MYC/BCL2, Richter)

### Chemorefractory DLBCL: "Scholar-1" observational study



Crump Blood 2017

#### CD19 CAR T-cells: Durable CR's in pivotal trials



#### Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center

Subklewe Trans Med Hemotherapy 2019, Axi-cel: Neelapu SS et al. N Engl J Med 2017;377:2531-2544. Tisa-cel: SJ Schuster et al. N Engl J Med 2019;380:45-56.

### CD19 CAR T-cells: Overview of Toxicities

#### Cytokine release syndrome

- Inflammatory cytokines, immune activation
- 2-3 days post infusion
- Common, mostly mild/moderate in severity

#### "ICANS"- Immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome

- Endothelial activation/BBB disruption
- 3-10 days post infusion
- Uncommon, severe in 10-30% (more w/CD28 costim domain)

#### Cytopenias, hypogammaglobulinemia

• 30-60% severe cytopenia post CAR T

### Patient Selection for CAR T

- **Histology-** primarily DLBCL, tFL, PMBCL, HGBCL
- Target antigen expression (e.g., CD19+)?
- Comorbidities
- Plan bridging/disease control: The race against production time
  - Avoid T-cell depletion, excess myelotox, and CD19 –directed therapies

#### CD19 CAR T-cells: Shifting to earlier lines in DBLCL



- Zuma-1 (Axi-cel)
- Juliet (Tisa-cel)
- Transcend (Liso-cel)
- Zuma-7 (axi-cel)
- Transform (Liso-cel)
- PILOT (Liso-cel) for elderly/unfit
- Zuma-12 (axi-cel), positive iPET phase 2
- Zuma-23 (axi-cel), CART vs. RCHOP/REPOCH, phase 3

### Liso-cel and Axi-cel: Approval, DLBCL <u>Refractory/Relapsing 12</u> <u>months</u> from 1<sup>st</sup> line

	TRANSFORM	Zuma-7
Comparison	Liso-cel vs ASCT (N=92 each)	Axi-cel vs ASCT (N=180/179)
CR	66% vs 39% (p<.001)	65% vs 32% (p<.001)
EFS	10.1 vs 2.3 mo (p<.0001)	8.3 vs 2.0 mo (p<.001)
OS	NR vs 29.9 mo, p=.0987 79.1% vs 64.2% at 1 year	NR vs 31.1 mo, p=.03 54.6% vs 46% (4-year)
Notes	<ul> <li>Bridging allowed (1 cycle, chemo)</li> <li>Stable disease week 9 counted as an event</li> <li>PFS not reached at 17.5 mo f/u</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No bridging (steroids only)</li> <li>Stable disease week 21 (day 150) an event</li> <li>14.7 mo PFS in Axi-cel (3.7 mo PFS in ASCT)</li> </ul>

Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center

## **Bispecific Antibodies: New options in 2024**



**Glofitamab:** CD20 x CD3, 2:1 tumor- to T-cell binding



Epcoritamab: CD20 x CD3



Odronextamab: CD20 x CD3

IgG-like Bispecific antibody



### 3<sup>rd</sup> line Bispecifics in Aggressive BCL : Use and CRS prophylaxis

	Epcoritamab	Glofitamab	
FDA Approval	DLBCL-NOS, including those arising from indolent lymphoma, HGBCL	DLBCL-NOS, tFL	
Population (Required prior therapy)	<b>2 prior Tx</b> , including anti-CD20 and prior failure or ineligibility for autoSCT	<b>2 prior Tx</b> , including anti-CD20 and prior anthracycline	
Route	SC	IV	
Duration	Indefinite therapy, weekly /twice- monthly/ 28-day cycles	Fixed duration, 12 cycles; weekly then 21-day cycles	
CRS mitigation	<ul> <li>Step-up dosing</li> <li>Prednisolone given daily x 4 for each dose with cycle</li> <li>24 hr inpatient monitoring for first full dose</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Step-up dosing</li> <li>Obinutuzumab pre-therapy</li> <li>IV methylpred for cycles 1 and 2</li> <li>Hospitalized for 1<sup>st</sup> dose, outpatient for subsequent unless ≥ G2 CRS</li> </ul>	

3<sup>rd</sup> line Bispecifics in Aggressive BCL: Efficacy and Toxicity Summary

	Epcoritamab	Glofitamab
ORR/CR, %	63/39	52/39
PFS, mo	4.4	4.9
DOR, mo	12	18.4
OS, mo	Not reached at median f/u 10.7 mo	11.5
<b>Cytokine release</b> <b>syndrome</b> All grade/ Gr 3+, %	49.7/ <b>2.5</b>	63/ <b>4</b>

Glofitamab: Dickinson NEJM 2022

#### ≥2<sup>nd</sup> line Bispecifics+chemo in Aggressive BCL: STARGLO trial (on-going)

#### Patients R/R DLBCL (N=274)

- R/R DLBCL NOS after ≥1 prior systemic therapy
- Patients with one prior line must be transplant ineligible
- ECOG PS 0–2

#### Stratification factors

- Relapsed vs refractory disease<sup>‡</sup>
- 1 vs ≥2 prior lines of therapy



\*Gemcitabine 1000mg/m<sup>2</sup> and oxaliplatin 100mg/m<sup>2</sup>. In C1, Gpt administered on D1, GemOx on D2, followed by glofit 2.5mg on D8 and glofit 10mg on D15; in C2–8, glofit 30mg and GemOx are administered on D1. †Rituximab 375mg/m<sup>2</sup>. ‡Relapsed disease: recurrence following a response that lasted ≥6 months after completion of the last line of therapy; refractory disease: disease that did not respond to, or that progressed <6 months after, completion of the last line of therapy. ASCT, autologous stem cell transplant; C, cycle; D, day; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; Gpt, obinutuzumab pre-treatment; NOS, not otherwise specified; R 2:1, patients randomized in a 2:1 ratio.

Abramson EHA 2024

#### ≥2<sup>nd</sup> line Bispecifics+chemo in Aggressive BCL: STARGLO trial (on-going)



#### **Response rate:**

- Glofit-GemOx: ORR 68.3%, CR rate 58.5%
- R-GemOx: ORR 40.7%, CR rate 25.3%

#### **Glofit-GemOx:**



#### Adverse events:

- Glofit-GemOx: serious 54%, G3-5 78%, G5 8%
- R-GemOx: serious 17%, G3-5 41%, G5 5%
- Better efficacy with OS benefit despite increased AEs (observed AEs c/w known risks of the study drug)
- Data support the use for the treatment of R/R DLBCL (not approved yet)

Abramson EHA 2024

### "Recent history": Aggressive B-Cell Lymphoma Approvals

Regimen	Mechanism	Study population/ efficacy	Notes
Polatuzumab + BR Sehn JCO 2020	Chemo + CD79 ADC: MMAE payload	Most pt refractory to prior Tx Pola BR: 40% CR and mPFS 9.5 m PFS (3.7 w/ BR)	<ul> <li>Infectious toxicity: 23% severe infections, 33% d/c for AE</li> <li>Bridging (without benda)</li> <li>Role if polaRCHP 1st line?</li> </ul>
<b>Selinexor</b> Kalakonda Lancet Haem 2020	Small molecule, targeting nuclear export	Excluded <i>recent</i> refractory pts, 28% ORR, CR12%; mPFS <3 mo;	<ul> <li>Modest efficacy, restricted population; oral tx</li> <li>nausea</li> <li>2 prior lines</li> </ul>
<b>Tafasitamab +</b> <b>Lenalidomide</b> Salles Lancet Onc 2020	CD19 MoAb + immunomodulator	N-80; 50% 1 prior line - excluded primary refractory - 40% CR rate, mPFS 11.6 mo	<ul> <li>IO/non-chemo option.</li> <li>Complex dosing</li> <li><i>R/R DLBCL + transplant-ineligible</i></li> <li>1 prior line approval</li> </ul>
Loncastuximab Tesirine 4/23/21 FDA approval, LOTIS-2	CD19 ADC: PBD payload	N-145. 2 prior lines required + mostly refractory. ORR 48%, CR 24%; PFS 4.9 mo	<ul> <li>Cytopenias, GGT elevation, volume overload</li> <li>2 prior lines</li> </ul>

### Current Treatment of R/R Aggressive B-NHL



**Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center** 



# Thank you





