APPLICATION ATTACHMENTS

- □ Needs Assessment Documentation
- □ Planning Process Documentation (optional)
- □ Activity Agenda or Schedule
- □ Planning Committee Disclosure Forms
- □ Speaker Disclosure and Attestation Forms
- □ Speaker Bio Forms
- □ Mitigation of Conflict of Interest (*if applicable*)
- Disclosure Summary Form/Template
- Evaluation Form Template
- □ Marketing Draft

AGENDA	
Activity Title:	Day/Date:

Note: Please include any break times and the time of adjournment each day. Add rows or days as needed.

Time	Lecture Title	Speaker Name
	Break	
	Dreak	
	LUNCH	
	Adjourn	

FACULTY BIOGRAPHICAL DATA		
Please complete the form or attach a Biosketch for all presenters.		
Activity Title:		Activity Date:
First Name:		Last Name:
Title(s):		Credential(s):
Department:		Division:
Organization:		
Affiliations:		
Email:		Phone:
Talk Title:		
Objectives: At t	he conclusion of this presentation, attendees s	should be able to:
1.		
2.		
3.		
Educational For		
Select the education format(s) for your presentation. Select all that apply and provide rationale as to why		
appropriate:		
Case Studies Hands-on Lab Small Work Groups Lecture with Q&A		
□ Panel Discussion □ ARS □ Debate □ Simulation □ Other:		
Rationale:		
Do you have a f	inancial disclosure with an ineligible entity with	thin the past 24 months:

FINANCIAL

University of Washington School of Medicine Office of Continuing Medical Education <u>Summary of CME Finances</u>

REVENUE	BUDGETED	ACTUAL
Registration Fees		
Exhibit Fees		
Commercial Support (Educational Grants):		
Other Revenue, Specify:		

TOTAL REVENUE

DIRECT EXPENSES	BUDGETED	ACTUAL
Speaker Expenses		
Speaker Fees		
Staff Expenses		
Marketing Expenses (brochure, mailing, etc.)		
Food and Beverage Service		
Facilities		
Course Materials		
Audio-visual		
Other Expenses, Specify:		
		·

TOTAL DIRECT EXPENSES

BUDGETED	ACTUAL

TOTALI	INDIRECT	EXPENSES
---------------	----------	----------

TOTAL EXPENSES (Direct + Indirect)

BUDGETED	ACTUAL

DIFFERENCE (Total Revenue - Total Expenses)

BUDGETED	ACTUAL

Please note:

*Financial information for this CME activity in this budget format must accompany this request for credit.

*A financial summary using the same format must be submitted with the final paperwork after the CME activity.

UW Medicine

CONTINUING MEDICAL EDUCATION

MITIGATION OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Activity Name Faculty/Planner Name Faculty Disclosures Role(s) in CME Activity Presenter Author Planner Reviewer Moderator Activity Chair Use this worksheet to identify and mitigate relevant financial relationships for all who will control educational content for your activity. Please make sure that the mitigation strategy is appropriate to the person's role in the activity, and that mitigation is implemented before each person takes on their role. An ineligible company is any entity whose primary business is producing, marketing, selling, re-selling, or distributing healthce									
Faculty Disclosures Role(s) in CME Activity Presenter Author Planner Reviewer Moderator Activity Chair Use this worksheet to identify and mitigate relevant financial relationships for all who will control educational content for your activity. Please make sure that the mitigation strategy is appropriate to the person's role in the activity, and that mitigation is implemented before each person takes on their role.									
Role(s) in CME Activity Presenter Author Planner Reviewer Moderator Activity Chair Use this worksheet to identify and mitigate relevant financial relationships for all who will control educational content for your activity. Please make sure that the mitigation strategy is appropriate to the person's role in the activity, and that mitigation is implemented before each person takes on their role.									
Use this worksheet to identify and mitigate relevant financial relationships for all who will control educational content for your activity. Please make sure that the mitigation strategy is appropriate to the person's role in the activity, and that mitigation is implemented before each person takes on their role.									
activity. Please make sure that the mitigation strategy is appropriate to the person's role in the activity, and that mitigation is implemented before each person takes on their role.									
implemented before each person takes on their role.									
	activity. Please make sure that the mitigation strategy is appropriate to the person's role in the activity, and that mitigation is								
An ineligible company is any entity whose primary business is producing, marketing, selling, re-selling, or distributing healthc									
products used by or on patients.	care								
Step 1: Review collected information about financial relationships									
Is the person in control of content employed by an ineligible entity?									
*Any person employed by an ineligible entity is unable to participate in an accredited activity)								
Step 2: Determine relevant financial relationships Financial relationships are relevant if any of the following three conditions are met:									
• A financial relationship, in any amount, exists between the person in control of content and an ineligible									
company.									
• The content of the education is related to the products of an ineligible company with whom the person has a									
financial relationship.									
• The financial relationship existed during the past 24 months.									
Does the faculty have a relevant financial relationship?									
Step 3: Choose a mitigation strategy Select the appropriate mitigation strategy(ies) based on the person role in the educational offering.									
Planner									
Elimination: Planner has been eliminated from participating in the CME activity.									
Decisions related to topics and speakers were made by committee consensus.									
□ Suggestions for topics and speakers were reviewed by outside consultants or other independent reviewer(s).									
Planning committee member was excluded from making decisions regarding specific topics and speakers.									
 On site monitoring at committee meetings:(name) Other (please explain):									
Faculty (Speaker, Author, Reviewer, Moderator)									
□ Elimination: Faculty has been eliminated from participating in the CME activity.									
The content was reviewed and it meets all the following criteria: a) content is valid and aligned with the interests of the									
public; b) all recommendations involving clinical medicine are based on the best available evidence and referenced; c) all	11								
scientific research referred to, reported, or used in the CME activity in support or as justification of patient care									
recommendations conforms to the generally accepted standards of experimental design, data collection and analysis. I have altered the content, including recommendations for patient care, to conform to content validity standards.									
 I have altered the content, including recommendations for patient care, to conform to content validity standards. The faculty member will refrain from making recommendations, regarding products or services, unless all relevant products. 	ucts								
or services applicable to the same procedure or treatment are presented in an unbiased manner.	ueus								
 The faculty will recommend an alternative presenter for this topic for the planning committee's consideration. 									
The faculty will or has divested his/herself from this financial relationship. (Date of divestment:)									
□ I have assigned the speaker to present on a different topic not relevant to their relationship.									
 On site monitoring:(name) The relationship(s) disclosed were determined not to be relevant. 									
Image: Construction of the relation of the relevant. Image: Construction of the relation of the relevant. Image: Construction of the relation of the relevant.									
Step 4: Status of Mitigation Is the faculty approved to participate in the accredited activity? Image: Status of Mitigation Image: Status of Mitigation	0								
Signature of Reviewer: Date:									

Activity Objectives:

Please complete this evaluation form and return it to the course coordinator. The first section lists each presentation. Please evaluate the presentations that you attend. The second section concerns the overall course. Please complete it before turning in the evaluation form. Circle your responses and write in your comments. The course planning committee appreciates your reactions and suggestions to develop and improve future conferences.

							e the Quality of h Presentation						
	Not a	·	<u></u>		Very N		-	Poor			0.50110	Exce	llent
"DATE"													
Presentation title	1	2	3	4	5	6		1	2	3	4	5	6
Speaker name													
Presentation title	1	2	3	4	5	6		1	2	3	4	5	6
Speaker name													
Presentation title	1	2	3	4	5	6		1	2	3	4	5	6
Speaker name													
Presentation title	1	2	3	4	5	6		1	2	3	4	5	6
Speaker name													
Please rate your agreement with the following statements based on your experience with this conference.							1	No	Some	Yes			
The activity objectives were met											1	3	4
There was adequate opportunity to ask questions during the activity								1	3	4			
The content was free of commercial bias * If No, please state why:							1	3	4				
The information presented in this educational activity enhanced my current knowledge								1	3	4			
The information presented in this educational activity provided new ideas or information I expect to use							1	1	3	4			
The information presented in this educational activity addressed competencies identified by my specialty							I	1	3	4			

Your comments regarding the lectures:

List one thing you intend to change in your practice as a result of the information you received from this activity.

How do you think these changes will affect your patient outcomes?

Please provide general comments regarding this activity and suggest how it might be improved

Suggestions for future topics:

UW Medicine

CONTINUING MEDICAL EDUCATION

CME Disclosure and Attestation Form

Course #		Activity Date:					
Course/Series	Name						
Presentation Ti	itle(s)/Topic(s)						
Faculty Name							
Role(s) in CME			Activity Chair				
The disclosure and attestation form must be completed by all persons involved in UW CME accredited activities who have the opportunity to control content. The purpose of this form is to identify all potential relationships, no matter the financial amount, with an ineligible entity, within the last 24 months. <u>Refusal to disclose will result in disqualification from</u> <u>participation.</u>							
It is the policy UW CME to ensure balance, independence, objectivity, and scientific rigor in all of its educational programs. Conflicts develop when an individual has an opportunity to affect CME content about the products or services of an ineligible entity with which he/she has a financial relationship. The intent is to openly identify any such relationships so that a) the Office of CME can identify and mitigate any conflict which may have been created and b) so that learners may form their own opinions as to whether the faculty perspective reflects possible bias in either exposition or conclusion.							
An ineligib		any entity whose primary business is producing, marketing, selling, re distributing healthcare products used by or on patients.	-selling, or				
Please select	the appropriate	box (X)					
	No, I have NC)T had any financial relationships with any ineligible entities within the past 2	4 months.				
Yes, within the past 24 months I HAVE/HAD a financial relationship with an ineligible company. (<i>If selected, please list the applicable relationships below</i>)							
Nature of relationship(s) : salary, honoraria, royalty, intellectual property rights, ownership interest, stock holder, consultant, speakers bureau, teaching, grant or research support, advisory committee, review panels, or other (please describe).							
	eakers bureau, te	eaching, grant or research support, advisory committee, review panels, or ot					
describe).	eakers bureau, te elationship(s) tionships	eaching, grant or research support, advisory committee, review panels, or oth Ineligible Entity Name of Company(s)					
describe).	lationship(s)	Ineligible Entity	her (please Relationship				
describe).	lationship(s)	Ineligible Entity	her (please Relationship Ended?				
describe). Nature of Re	lationship(s)	Ineligible Entity	her (please Relationship Ended?				
describe).	lationship(s)	Ineligible Entity	her (please Relationship Ended?				
describe). Nature of Re	lationship(s)	Ineligible Entity	her (please Relationship Ended?				
describe).	n order to partici mendations for p d balanced view ntific research re commendation of is, and interpreta nd evolving topica t avoids advocat e, evidence, and t excludes any a mendations, trea	Ineligible Entity Name of Company(s) ipate in the accredited offering, please attest to the following: atient care are based on current science, evidence, and clinical reasoning, v of diagnostic and therapeutic options; eferred to, reported, or used in this educational activity in support or justificat conform to the generally accepted standards of experimental design, data co	her (please Relationship Ended? Relationship Ended? while giving a ion of a patient blection, as such; current y, or				

DISCLOSURE INFORMATION

The University of Washington School of Medicine requires any person in control of content disclose any financial relationships with ineligible companies whose products or services are discussed in educational presentations. Significant relationships include receiving from an ineligible company research grants, consultancies, honoraria and travel, or other benefits or having a self-managed equity interest in a company. Disclosure of a relationship is not intended to suggest or condone bias in any presentation, but is made to provide participants with information that might be of potential importance to their evaluation of a presentation. The ACCME defines an ineligible entity as a company" whose primary business is producing, marketing, selling, re-selling, or distributing healthcare products used by or on patients."

The University of Washington School of Medicine, as part of its accreditation from the Accreditation Council on Continuing Medical Education (ACCME), is required to "mitigate" any conflicts prior to the educational program. Therefore, in light of the relationships/affiliations designated, each person in control of content has attested that:

- recommendations for patient care are based on current science, evidence, and clinical reasoning, while giving a fair and balanced view of diagnostic and therapeutic options;
- all scientific research referred to, reported, or used in this educational activity in support or justification of a patient care recommendation conform to the generally accepted standards of experimental design, data collection, analysis, and interpretation;
- new and evolving topics for which there is a lower (or absent) evidence base are clearly identified as such;
- content avoids advocating for, or promoting, practices that are not, or not yet, adequately based on current science, evidence, and clinical reasoning;
- content excludes any advocacy for, or promotion of, unscientific approaches to diagnosis or therapy, or recommendations, treatment, or manners of practicing healthcare that are determined to have risks or dangers that outweigh the benefits or are known to be ineffective in the treatment of patients.

The following have disclosed the described relationships:

<u>Role</u> Speaker Author Planner Reviewer	<u>Description of Relationship</u>
" name"	" list relationships – types and companies"

The following have indicated they do not have any relationships to disclose:

Speaker Author Planner Reviewer

All relevant financial relationships listed have been mitigated.

Accreditation Statement

University of Washington School

The University of Washington School of Medicine is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

The University of Washington School of Medicine designates this _____ activity for a maximum of **[number of credits]** AMA PRA Category 1 Credits[™]. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity. (Each session is _____ credits)

Montana Activities

(....your organization name....) is affiliated with the University of Washington School of Medicine.

The University of Washington School of Medicine is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

The University of Washington School of Medicine designates this ______ activity for a maximum of **[number of credits]** AMA PRA Category 1 Credits™. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity. (Each session is _____ credits)

Joint Providership

This activity has been planned and implemented in accordance with the accreditation requirements and policies of the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) through the joint providership of University of Washington School of Medicine and (name of nonaccredited provider). The University of Washington School of Medicine is accredited by the ACCME to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

The University of Washington School of Medicine designates this _____ activity for a maximum of *[number of credits]* AMA PRA Category 1 Credits[™]. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

Notes about the accreditation statement:

- Statement **must** appear **exactly** as written in course and marketing materials.
- It must be in two paragraphs.
- The following statement in italics: AMA PRA Category 1 Credits™
- Items highlighted need to be updated with applicable information.
- The following activity types are available: live, enduring material, other.

Any marketing needs to be reviewed and approved by the CME office prior to dissemination!



CME Activity

OUTCOME SUMMARY FORM

At the end of the activity or series, complete this summary and return to the CME Office with the final paperwork.

paper nern		
UW CME Activity number		
Title of the Activity		
Date of Activity/Series		
Indicate the number of	Number of Physicians (MD/DO)	Number of All Other Learners
individual instances a learner participated in your activity		
Number of sessions		

The following is based on participant evaluations, input from faculty,	Agree	Neutral	Disagree
staff and yourself.			
The intended objectives of the CME activity were met			
The desired results or expected outcomes were achieved			
The content had an impact on the learner to bring about changes in			
knowledge /competence, performance in practice and/or patient care.			
What were the major strengths and weaknesses of this activity?	•	·	· ·
Would you make any changes in future CME activities based on feedbac	k from this a	ctivity?	
Please use this space to provide a general summary of the activity.			
, ,			